

PROXY VOTING POLICY

It is our policy to use proxy voting for all portfolios and for all votes, other than where we are not given this authority by our client, or in countries or for companies where voting is impossible or exceptionally difficult for logistical reasons.

External service provider:

We have taken the decision to use the services of an outsourced provider, Institutional Shareholder Services Ltd (ISS), a leading provider of proxy voting advice and administrative services, to assist us with this activity given their expertise in this area.

Voting recommendations and policy guidelines

ISS provide voting recommendations to us, based on a pre-agreed set of policy guidelines which is reviewed at least annually. We currently use “Sustainability” set of voting guidelines, developed specifically to at least meet the standards consistent with the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment (UNPRI).

The ISS Sustainability policy research approach includes employing the use of ESG risk indicators to identify moderate to severe ESG risk factors at public companies, and holding culpable board members accountable for failure to sufficiently oversee, manage, or guard against material ESG risks. The ESG risk indicators cover several topics including the environment, human rights and impacts of business activities on local communities, labour rights and supply chain risks, consumer product safety, bribery and corruption, and governance & risk oversight failures.

The voting guidelines have a particular focus on transparency and reporting, and we generally support shareholder initiatives insofar as they request enhanced transparency on ESG issues.

Key policy highlights include:

- Board competence, performance – including on ESG topics and independence
- Alignment of pay and performance, presence of problematic compensation practices, shareholder value transfer
- Support, generally, for shareholder proposals advocating ESG disclosure or universal norms/codes of conduct. (In 2018, as an example, the policy guidelines resulted in recommendations to support 79% of such shareholder proposals for US S&P 500 stocks).

ISS informs our Portfolio Managers in good time of its voting recommendation. The Portfolio Managers have the authority to challenge the ISS recommendations on specific issues when they believe it is in the best interest of clients to do so. Such challenge is brought to the Proxy Voting Committee (see below).

Retention of client discretion over voting

The obligation to vote client proxies shall rest with our clients in certain cases, notwithstanding our discretionary authority to make investment decisions on behalf of our clients, and we will not exercise proxy voting authority over these accounts. Clients shall in no way be precluded from contacting us for advice or information about a particular proxy vote. However, we shall not be deemed to have proxy voting authority solely as a result of providing such advice to Clients.

Should we inadvertently receive proxy information for a security held in a client's account over which we do not maintain proxy voting authority, we will immediately forward such information to the client, but will not take any further action with respect to the voting of such proxy.

Records and disclosure

ISS is responsible for tracking all our proxies, voting in line with the ISS Sustainability policy and has direct feeds from client custodians.

The Responsible Investing Committee is responsible for monitoring ISS to ensure that proxies are properly voted in line with the policy, in a timely manner and that appropriate records are being retained.

A record of all proxy votes and information relevant to such votes is maintained by ISS and we report, on our public website, on proxy voting activity. The report includes a summary of voting activity, such as the percentage of all votes where we voted against management, but also lists every ballot voted including the management recommendation, our voting instruction, and our voting rationale.

Notification to investee companies

On request from a company, either before or after the vote, we will provide an explanation to a company of our voting decision. Where we are already involved in Engagement, or about to commence Engagement, we may choose to pro-actively inform a company of our voting decision, before the time of the vote, with the intention that this might influence the company's own decision-making process. We may also advise a company, and/or make public, our voting decision on a particular issue or issues if we deem that this would be helpful to achieve a particular aim with regard to an ESG issue.

Recall of stock on loan

For some KBI fund vehicles and strategies we engage in stock lending. Where applicable, the Portfolio Managers receive a weekly report listing all forthcoming company meetings where stock is on loan. They then make a decision to recall, or not, the stock in order to vote. Sufficient advance notice of forthcoming meetings is given to enable the stock to be recalled in good time to vote. The decision to recall stock, or not, is made in the best interests of clients and investors at all times, and will take account of whether the vote is likely to be controversial or closely contested, whether we are material shareholders in the company, the shareholding structure of the company, the strength of our views on the issue in question and whether it would strengthen our case in an Engagement context to maximise our voting power, and the loss of income to investors that would result. Where applicable, we may also inform our clients with separate accounts that we are recalling stocks in our KBI fund vehicles in order to vote, and recommend that they do so as well. In such circumstances however, the decision to recall solely lies with the client.

Role of the Proxy Voting Committee

The Committee consists of four members who are knowledgeable about the investment objectives, strategies and portfolio holdings of the funds we manage or advise:

- Chief Investment Officer
- Chief Compliance Officer
- ESG analyst
- Head of Responsible Investing

Other relevant staff may attend meetings to discuss issues of relevance to them or where they have particular expertise or knowledge, but they are not voting members.

The Proxy Voting Committee is a sub-committee of the Responsible Investing committee and is chaired by the Chief Investment Officer or in his absence, the Chief Compliance Officer.

It has the following responsibilities

1. Adjudicating on proxy votes where the Portfolio Manager challenges the ISS recommendation and on any other non-routine or controversial votes that may be referred to the Committee by a Portfolio Manager or the Chief Investment Officer or Chief Compliance Officer.
2. Dealing with conflicts of interest between our firm and the portfolios that we manage or the issuers of securities owned by the portfolio such as they may arise in the proxy voting context from significant business, personal or family relationships.

The Committee will vote proxies consistent with the voting guidelines that are in force at the time of the decision (i.e. the voting guidelines agreed with ISS, our service provider), having particular regard to the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment.

If more than one portfolio owns the same security to be voted, the Committee shall have regard for same, recognising that differences in portfolio investment objectives and strategies may produce different results.

In addition, there may be instances where the Portfolio Managers may wish to vote differently for proxies held by more than one product group. The Chief Compliance Officer shall review all such votes to determine that there are no conflicts of interest with regards to such votes. We maintain documentation of the reason and basis for any such votes.

We may opt to abstain from voting if deemed that abstinence is in clients' best interests. For example, we may be unable to vote securities that have been lent by the custodian, or where voting would restrict the sale of securities.

At any time, the Committee may seek the advice of ISS or counsel or retain outside consultants to assist in its deliberations.

Conflicts of Interest

An attempt will be made to identify all potential conflicts of interest that exist between our interests and those of our clients. We realise that due to the difficulty of predicting and identifying all material conflicts, we must also rely on employees to notify the Compliance & Risk Control Unit of any material conflicts that could influence the proxy voting process. To mitigate these conflicts, ISS is an independent source of voting recommendations.

We are aware that any external provider of proxy voting advisory services may potentially have conflicts of interest. The Responsible Investing Committee as part of its remit assesses the advisor's conflict of interest policy, and the implementation of that policy, and may terminate or amend the contract with the provider if either is deemed to be unsatisfactory.

Potential ownership conflicts

As this firm is majority owned by Amundi s.a., a company listed on the French stock market, a potential conflict of interest could arise in the exercise of proxies for that company. For this

reason, the Proxy Voting committee will have particularly strong regard for the recommendation of ISS when considering a contested/controversial proxy vote in the case of our parent company.

Updated December 2019